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Use of MCDA in e-Democracy

• e-Democracy problems typically involve multiple criteria
  • E.g. environmental problems – many stakeholders, conflicting interests

• Multicriteria decision analysis is needed
  • Understanding of the structure of complex problems
  • Presenting different stakeholders’ preferences in a common framework

→ Web-HIPRE a testing platform

History of Web-HIPRE

HIPRE (First version 1988), HIPRE 3+ (1992)

• General purpose MCDA software
  • Supports both multiattribute value theory (MAVT) and AHP methodologies

• MS-DOS platform

• Development started from the needs of energy policy cases
  • Decision analysis interviews with members of the Finnish parliament (Hämäläinen, 1988, 1992)
History of Web-HIPRE

Web-HIPRE (First published in 1998)
- Web based successor of HIPRE 3+
- Development started from the need to have MCDA tools for public participation
  - Environmental applications (Marttunen and Hämäläinen, 1995; Mustajoki et al., 2004)
  - Can we utilize the opportunities provided by the Web?

Multiattribute value tree analysis

- MCDA approach to model DMs’ preferences
- Value tree:

- Overall value of alternative $x$:
  \[ v(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i v_i(x_i) \]

  - $n = \text{number of attributes}$
  - $w_i = \text{weight of attribute } i$
  - $x_i = \text{consequence of alternative } x \text{ with respect to attribute } i$
  - $v_i(x_i) = \text{rating of } x_i$
Web-HIPRE user interface

Web features in Web-HIPRE

- Publicly available on the Web
  - Platform independence – no local installations
- Links to Web pages
  - Additional information about the alternatives and the case
- Group model
  - Aggregation of individual preferences to group preferences through the Web
  → Potentially useful features in e-Democracy
Group decision support

Individual results aggregated with the Weighted Arithmetic Mean Method

How to benefit from Web-HIPRE in e-Democracy?

1. Assisted decision analysis in a stakeholder group
2. Studying of other stakeholders’ models on a project Web site – Sensitivity analysis
3. e-Learning of decision analytical methods
   ...
4. Independent use by the public through the Web
1. Assisted decision analysis in a stakeholder group

- A group of e.g. 10-20 stakeholders set up to represent different interest groups
- MCDA interviews with members of this group
  - Analyst helps and assures the proper use of the methods
- Preference models discussed collaboratively
  - Results communicated with the public
- Very applicable but also laborious approach

MAVT in e-Participation

- Enables to input stakeholders’ preferences systematically into the process
- Helps understanding the pros and cons of different alternatives
- Provides a common language for communication
  → e-Democracy process based on consistent analysis of the values of public
2. Studying of other stakeholders’ models

- Examples of the models elicited in MCDA interviews can be published on the Web
- Public can independently analyze these
  - Understanding of other stakeholders’ preferences
  - Sensitivity analysis of group members’ weights (power)
- Possibly Ok – still risk of misunderstandings
  - Basic skills on MCDA needed
- How to commit public to analyze the models?

3. e-Learning of decision analysis
   (www.mcda.hut.fi)

- e-Learning Web site on value tree analysis
  - Theory, cases, quizzes, assignments, videos
  - Demonstrations how to use Web-HIPRE in practice
- Makes decision analysis interviews through the Web possible?
- Gives basic skills to study other stakeholders’ models
- More research needed
4. Independent use by the public

- The public can be allowed to independently evaluate Web-HIPRE models on the Web
  - Any stakeholder can elicit his/her preferences
- Elements of the model can have Web links
  - Additional information about the policy options
- Requires methodological support
  → Not easily applicable with general public
- Do we need to elicit all the stakeholders’ preferences?

Application: Lake regulation policy

- Case: Regulation of Lake Päijänne
- Several stakeholders: summer cottage residents, conservationists, water power companies, fishermen, …
- Steering group of 20 members to represent different stakeholders
- Public participated in different phases of the process
Use of Web-HIPRE

- Decision analysis interviews of steering group members with HIPRE and Web-HIPRE
  - Results analyzed collaboratively to get a view of the differences between the stakeholder groups
- Web-HIPRE models of different stakeholders available on the Web
  - Testing of new technology

Experiences of using Web-HIPRE

- MCDA interviews very applicable approach to clarify the differences between opinions
- Communication between the steering group and the public very important
- Analyzing independently the models of the stakeholders could be too demanding
  - Even if the public does not analyze the models, the awareness of these could increase openness and trust
Application: Nuclear emergency management

- Simulated nuclear accident
- **Milk case**: Planning of countermeasures for the milk pathway in a nuclear accident
- **Urban case**: Planning of clean-up actions in inhabited areas
  - Similar workshops in seven European countries
- A day-long decision workshop exercise held to consider the problem from different perspectives

Use of Web-HIPRE in the workshop

- Value tree constructed collaboratively
- Weights given by each participant group
  - Hands-on use of the system
- Results analyzed together
  - Aim to understand the other participants’ preferences
- Individual models aggregated into a group model
Experiences

- Web-HIPRE provides a very applicable way to support decision conference workshops
  - Analyzing the other participants’ preference models helps to understand their viewpoints
  - Group model gives an averaged overview
- Simple models needed
  - A comprehensive overall view can still be provided
- Preference models on the Web
  - Participants can study them afterwards

RODOS project
(http://www.rodos.fzk.de)

- European project: Realtime Online Decision Support System for nuclear emergency management
- Web-HIPRE integrated as a part of the RODOS system
- Explanation module integrated to generate natural language reports (Papamichail and French, 2003)
  - Applied successfully on agricultural countermeasure strategy analysis (Geldermann et al., 2005)
Visits to Web-HIPRE

- It takes time to practitioners to find the software

Who is using Web-HIPRE?

- User survey (June 2006)
  - Submitted by e-mail to all registered users (~3200)
  - 119 replies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Used Web-HIPRE as a</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>51.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reseracher</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching instructor</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision analyst</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>119 participants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Application areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The area of application</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business strategy</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental decision making energy</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>policy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public policy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; D</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product design</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product/project selection</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance evaluation</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources/personnel evaluation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>119 participants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects using Web-HIPRE

Environmental:
- Forest management (Levy et al., 2000)
- Lake regulation policy (Mustajoki et al., 2004)
- Agricultural countermeasure strategy analysis (Geldermann et al., 2005)
- Nuclear emergency management (Mustajoki et al., 2006)
- Conservation of Florida panthers (Thatcher et al., 2006)
- Energy analysis in Bangkok (Phdungsilp, 2006)
Projects using Web-HIPRE

Product/strategy evaluation:
- PC disposition in banking industry (Shah and Sarkis, 2003)
- e-Commerce software for a supply chain (Sarkis and Talluri, 2004)
- e-Business process composition (Shaikh and Mehandjiev, 2004)
- Performance based building (Potkka et al., 2004)
- Company strategy selection (Sale and Sale, 2005)

User survey

How did you learn about Web-HIPRE?

- Reference in a book or a journal article (17 [%])
- Through a Web link (25 [%])
- As a participant of a related course (34 [%])
- Using Web-HIPRE (210 [%])
- Other (16 [%])

Total: 119 participants

How can we better promote the approach?
Conclusions

- Web-HIPRE provides a general platform for MCDA in e-Democracy
- Experiences strongly support the applicability of the MAVT approach in e-Democracy
  - Especially in decision analysis interviews
- Web makes remote interaction possible
  - Independent use of the software requires methodological support – not easily applicable
  - How can e-Learning sites be applied to enhance independent use?
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